

***HAVLISH PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER CREATING A COMMON BENEFIT FUND AND  
AUTHORIZING CERTAIN DISBURSEMENTS THEREFROM***

**EXHIBIT 4**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
2 -----x

3 In re: Terrorist Attacks on  
3 September 11, 2001

03 MDL 1570 (GDB) (FM)

New York, N.Y.  
April 15, 2010  
11:30 a.m.

7 Before:

HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,  
District Judge  
HON. FRANK MAAS,  
Magistrate Judge

## APPEARANCES

14 COZEN O'CONNOR  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Insurance  
15 BY: SEAN P. CARTER

16 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff Ashton  
17 BY: JAMES P. KREINDLER  
17 ANDREW J. MALONEY, III

18 MOTLEY RICE  
19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Burnett  
19 BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE  
20 JODI FLOWERS  
21 ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff Cantor-Port  
22 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN

22  
23 HANLY CONROY  
23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
24 BY: ANDREA BIEFSTEIN

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

1  
2 (Appearances cont'd)  
3 MELLON WEBSTER & SHELLY  
3 Attorneys for Defendants  
4 BY: THOMAS E. MELLON, JR.  
4  
5 KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD & EVANS, P.L.L.C.  
5 Attorneys for Defendants Executive Committee  
6 BY: MICHAEL K. KELLOGG  
6  
7 PATTON BOGGS, LLP  
7 Attorneys for Defendants  
8 BY: MITCHELL R. BERGER  
8  
9 JONES DAY  
9 Attorneys for Defendants  
10 BY: MARY ELLEN POWERS  
10 TIMOTHY FINN  
11  
11 JOSHUA L. DRATEL, P.C.  
12 Attorney for Defendant  
12 BY: STUART WHITE  
13  
13 LAURO LAW  
14 Attorneys for Defendant  
14 BY: JOHN LAURO  
15  
15 STEVEN K. BARENTZEN, ESQ.  
16 Attorneys for Defendant  
16  
17 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROTHENTHAL, LLP  
17 Attorneys for Defendants  
18 BY: MARTIN SCHWARTZ  
18  
19 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY  
19 Attorneys for Defendants  
20 BY: ED REDDINGTON  
20  
21 COBURN & COFFMAN, PLLC  
21 Attorneys for Defendants  
22 BY: JEFFREY COFFMAN  
23  
24  
25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

1 04F6MDLC

2 (In open court; case called)

3 LAW CLERK: All parties please stand and state your  
4 name, starting with plaintiff.

5 THE COURT: We don't need to go through everybody.

6 The court reporter I think has everyone's appearance.

7 Let me, along with Magistrate Judge Maas, first  
8 indicate where I think we are and talk about a schedule moving  
9 forward. I have consulted with Magistrate Judge Maas and this  
10 is what is going to happen and this is what I propose: First  
11 of all, I will be issuing within weeks a decision or decisions,  
12 and I am still finalizing how that is going to go, with regard  
13 to 90 percent of the outstanding motions. Some of the motions  
14 have separate issues and they are pretty much dealt with by  
15 issues opposed to by individual defendant. You will be getting  
16 that in the next few weeks.

17 In anticipation of that, this is what I would like the  
18 parties to do: I am going to ask you to revise your proposed  
19 scheduling order once you see my decision. You can start  
20 talking about that now. I want you to revise it on a schedule  
21 that will begin full-blown discovery as of the July 15th  
22 conference that we will schedule from here. I am going to ask  
23 you to submit that to Magistrate Judge Maas.

24 To the extent you agree on the schedule and to the  
25 extent that you disagree, I want you to submit that to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 Magistrate Judge Maas by June the 14th. So I anticipate that  
2 in several weeks you will get the decision, digest that, and  
3 you will have time to see who is here, who is not here, and  
4 figure out whether or not the disagreements you have about  
5 scheduling, work out what you can, and propose it to Magistrate  
6 Judge Maas and give it to him by June 14th. So that I  
7 anticipate the schedule will begin after July 15 and, we will  
8 schedule the next conference for July 15th.

9 What I am going to you also to consider once you see  
10 that, the plaintiffs can consider whether or not you can file a  
11 consolidated or some consolidated complaints. I think we may  
12 still have 12, 13 outstanding complaints. Let's see as the  
13 smoke clears what the landscape is after you get the decision.  
14 Look and see whether you can do this in one complaint or  
15 consolidate it in one complaint or you can consolidate it in  
16 two or three complaints or something in that realm. If  
17 necessary, you can discuss that further with Magistrate Judge  
18 Maas or myself in the first instance. I think Magistrate Judge  
19 Maas will help on that issue.

20 See if that can be done given the committees that we  
21 have and the nature of the issues and maybe that can be done in  
22 one, maybe it can be done by different types of plaintiffs,  
23 different types of claims. You can evaluate what you think and  
24 it might be useful and efficient and even consult the defense  
25 with regard to that. It is really simply just a consolidation,

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 not an amendment. So we don't have to raise any new issues  
2 that will slow us down.

3 As soon as you get the decision, start taking about  
4 that, thinking about that and see if you can have some idea by  
5 June 14th of what you think might happen. If you need to go  
6 before Magistrate Judge Maas, he is going to set a conference  
7 before that time or after that time then you can address these  
8 issues with Judge Maas and get some assistance from him on that  
9 issue.

10 Then obviously given the decision on the motion there  
11 will be a lot of defendants that need answers. Try to make as  
12 early decision as you can whether there will be consolidated  
13 complaints. Get that to the parties and they can go ahead and  
14 answer. Obviously what I would like to see is that by  
15 July 15th the next scheduled conference that most of the  
16 decisions are done, we have a schedule to move forward with  
17 discovery, the complaints are consolidated that are to be  
18 consolidated, and the answers are in and you are moving  
19 forward. We're working on that and we'll be trying to work  
20 out.

21 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MAAS: In light of what Judge Daniels  
22 has said and since none of us know when date the decision will  
23 come down, what I suggest is that within 10 days after that  
24 decision is issued the parties communicate with me in writing  
25 both as to any revisions they suggest with respect to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 discovery. I have the letters that were sent in November. If  
2 everybody's position is essentially the same as it was, two  
3 lines saying that is fine. If there is some modifications, let  
4 me know. What I would suggest is that within 10 days of the  
5 decision, you communicate to me in writing both as to any  
6 tweaks of the discovery schedule and as to plaintiffs' thoughts  
7 regarding the consolidated complaint or complaints.

8 THE COURT: The June 14th date is basically what I  
9 determined where I think we are and where I think we will be.  
10 I think that is the latest date that we will be in a position  
11 to be able to get that done and have that all before Magistrate  
12 Judge Maas. In terms of a realistic schedule from my end, I  
13 think that is the outside date.

14 As Magistrate Judge Maas as indicated, I think we will  
15 be in a position to address those issues and resolve those  
16 issues before that date and have plenty of time before  
17 July 15th to resolve any issues that might be necessary to  
18 resolve in conjunction with or before we start moving forward  
19 with full-blown discovery. So the bottom line is so that  
20 everybody can gear up, both plaintiffs and defendants. That is  
21 when you should prepare to put aside some time and effort and  
22 for folks for start dealing substantively with discovery, fact  
23 discovery with regard to these cases.

24 That is the basic framework that I think that is going  
25 to guide us all. I think that over the next two months

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1       substantial progress hopefully should be made.

2           Let me first hear from the plaintiffs and then I will  
3       hear from the defense whether or not with regard to those  
4       issues or whether they have other new issues that you want to  
5       address right now.

6           MR. KREINDER: Your Honor, I think that is fine with  
7       the plaintiffs. We look forward to the decision and we'll get  
8       to work as soon as we see it.

9           MR. KELLOGG: Your Honor, Michael Kellogg on behalf of  
10      the defense executive committee.

11          One question I would have is whether the Court  
12       contemplates that those parties be dismissed under the FSIA or  
13       personal jurisdiction or otherwise are going to receive partial  
14       judgments of dismissal so that any appeals can take place and  
15       they can be out of the case and not feel obliged to monitor  
16       discovery going on for a period of time?

17          THE COURT: That is my intent. I haven't made a final  
18       decision about that, but I have discussed that and I have  
19       considered that. My leaning at this point is that that will be  
20       done and that for those defendants who were dismissed out of  
21       the case I will probably enter judgment and or certified so  
22       that if the plaintiffs want to appeal they can on a different  
23       track, and the defendants can go ahead and dismissed out of the  
24       case can go ahead and get some finality within the Circuit with  
25       regard to those issues.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1           I can tell you at this point that I have considered  
2 that and that is my inclination. The more I consider it and  
3 the more I look at what the landscape looks like that I  
4 anticipates after the decisions that is probably -- that is  
5 probably the way I am going on this. If I hear some objection,  
6 valid objections doing that, I think that it is most efficient  
7 and in everyone's interest to go ahead and resolve that as  
8 quickly as possible.

9           MR. CARTER: Your Honor, just briefly speaking to that  
10 issue, at the last conference we had dialogue with the court  
11 whether the plaintiffs would be permitted to amend their  
12 pleadings to cure any pleading deficiencies which may  
13 precipitate a dismissal in a particular case, and your Honor  
14 indicated that the plaintiffs would be allowed that  
15 opportunity.

16           So with regard to any potential final judgments or  
17 54(b) judgments, I think we would just simply ask for the  
18 opportunity to let the Court know whether or not we want to  
19 pursue that with respect to a particular defendant.

20           THE COURT: Let me give some thought and some  
21 discussion over the next few weeks about what would be an  
22 efficient process for that. I think that I may set out a  
23 process that once you see the decision if you think that it is  
24 curable, then you at least give me some indication. I am not  
25 going to put you through the task of giving many a full-blown

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 proposal amendment complaint in those regards, but at least  
2 some fairly specific indication in what way it can be cured.

3 For example, there are certain issues we know are not  
4 curable. If you have sovereign immunity, you have sovereign  
5 immunity. It is not curable. I guess it is possible there may  
6 be some allegations that could be made that might cure some of  
7 the other issues if that evidence or at least those facts can  
8 be raised in good faith.

9 I think what is appropriate is that I would say within  
10 30 days of receiving decision, you should indicate to me and  
11 the other side in writing which defendants and on which issues  
12 you would seek to cure and at least some basis for me to  
13 understand the nature of what you say would cure the deficiency  
14 to whatever extent that you think it is appropriate for me to  
15 review. So if you do that within 30 days of getting the  
16 decision, I will give them an opportunity to quickly respond  
17 and either before or at the latest by July 15th, you will have  
18 permission to do that and/or I will deny it as futile and then  
19 I will enter judgment by July 15th also that we can decide  
20 whether or not we're in that posture still here or whether or  
21 not that you will appeal to the Second Circuit.

22 So I think that that could still work within that  
23 schedule and not delay progress to either party

24 MR. CARTER: Thank you, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: So anything else from the defendants?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1           I am confident that Magistrate Judge Maas and I are up  
2 to speed and did a significant amount of work to get us where  
3 we are now. I am confident that we can move forward  
4 efficiently on that schedule. I just want to make sure that  
5 everyone gears up. If there are any issues that you think that  
6 need to be addressed within that schedule to keep us on that  
7 schedule, bring them to my attention or Magistrate Judge Maas's  
8 attention in writing so we can resolve any issues.

9           My attitude moving forward is always particularly as  
10 to the next scheduled conference is always the same, my intent  
11 is that the conference is there to keep us on track and resolve  
12 any issues that need to be resolved to move us forward. And I  
13 think the most useful and the best thing that will give us both  
14 confidence is that if as we get close to July 15th, everything  
15 is done, geared up, ready to go and the parties say, Judge,  
16 there is no reason to waste our time bring to us in July 15th,  
17 we're ready to go, and after July 15th we're moving forward and  
18 then we'll schedule the next conference, as I said the standard  
19 six-month conference, and any other issues that need to be  
20 addressed regarding discovery with Judge Maas or any issues  
21 addressed with me directly can be address.

22           There are other related motions out there. I know  
23 there is at least, I believe, the National Commerce Bank motion  
24 that is still being renewed and will be submitted until June 18  
25 or somewhere around there. There are some other minor issues

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 or motions -- I will not call them minor -- other motions,  
2 default motions and those kinds of things.

3 Let me just say this and I will volunteer this at this  
4 point just as guidance about the default: Given the nature of  
5 the issues with some of the defendants, I put off granting  
6 default motions for awhile until we gear it up. Think about  
7 what default means and what may have to be done following a  
8 default motion with regard to establishing the basis for  
9 recovery and establishing the damages and those kinds of  
10 things. Default motions may be a significant amount of work  
11 and attention by you.

12 It may not even be appropriate to move forward with  
13 any hearings or other related activity with regard to the  
14 defaults until some substantial discovery has been accomplished  
15 because it may be very well what the final determinations and  
16 the final default judgments to be issued. As you move forward  
17 think in terms of how what might will be an efficient process  
18 to deal with that, when might be an appropriate time to deal  
19 with that, and what would be necessary in order to really  
20 effect the judgment in support of default motion.

21 So put that to the side thinking about some issues how  
22 to handle that, but I think that I've been convinced that it is  
23 more appropriate for the opposite in most cases to let the  
24 default issues at least consider what is going to be relevant  
25 in the discovery process after some extent there are certain

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 defendants that it is appropriate to issue a default judgment  
2 without any further hearing or any further activity. That is  
3 the case.

4 I still haven't resolved whether or not if there are  
5 other issues that arise with regard to the defendants that  
6 particularly, the jurisdictional issues and how I have to  
7 address that and whether I need to address that sua sponte or  
8 raise those issues with the parties to convince me separately  
9 that it is otherwise appropriate to enter a default judgment  
10 against a particular defendant.

11 I just raise that issue now so as you think about this  
12 process, think about what you want to do with that. I don't  
13 want as I say to have the tail to be wagging the dog here. So  
14 we may find out that we have to submit activity on the default  
15 and it will complicate the discovery process as you go forward.  
16 So think about those things.

17 Rest assured we've been all working diligently to get  
18 this moving up to speed. Hopefully we can all work  
19 cooperatively, professionally to move this efficiently along.  
20 So I will anticipate that this is the schedule and it should be  
21 major activities as we go toward the end of the year into the  
22 next year.

23 Any other things that arise, if something we need that  
24 this raises that you think you should be thinking about or  
25 issues, bring them to my attention or Magistrate Judge Maas's

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 attention as early a possible so we can keep this moving along.

2 What I will do is otherwise see you July 15th. And  
3 unless you tell me. Judge, we don't need to see you July 15,  
4 everything is done, let's move forward. Again, it is always  
5 helpful for us if as we get closer to July 15th conference,  
6 indicate what issues if we have issues that you want resolve at  
7 that time or before that time, my attitude is try to resolve it  
8 before that time so if it can be done otherwise we can be  
9 prepared to discuss it further or resolve it at that time.

10 MR. MELLON: Your Honor, on an unrelated matter but on  
11 the subject of not needing discovery but on the subject of  
12 ready-to-go, on July 15th one the Hanly lawyers Mr. Richard  
13 Haily addresses the Court and Mr. Haily advised the Court that  
14 the Hanly lawyers were ready to file their proofs against the  
15 Islamic State of Iraq. However, the Court directed us to give  
16 the Court status report regarding our service of process.

17 I would like to advise the Court that on October 27th  
18 Mr. Richard Haily and the other Hanly lawyers did in fact send  
19 a very, very lengthy letter to the Court which is not on the  
20 docket because on December 27th, 2007, we do have the exhibits  
21 in great detailed showing the clerk certification of service as  
22 it pertains to Iran and all the instrumentalities. Again, we  
23 apologize for sending such a long letter, but essentially it  
24 was a repeat of the December 27th, 2000 clerk certification.

25 I mention this, your Honor, because we have a bump in  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

1       the road. As we were getting ready to file our proofs, which  
2       are affidavits, videotape, testimony from Europe, etc., etc.,  
3       Judge Royce Lamberth, Chief Judge of the District Court in  
4       Washington D.C., issued what we regarded as a landmark opinion  
5       regarding Iraq. In that landmark opinion the Judge said that  
6       under 1605(a), the amendment to FSIA that that was the way to  
7       proceed. It is a burden of proof matter.

8       SO accordingly, your Honor, we went back to the  
9       drawing board and on March 1st of this year we had filed a  
10      motion for leave to file our amended complaint under 1605(a).  
11      I believe Judge Lamberth made clear THAT this is not a new  
12      service issue. Not new defendants. It is just a burden of  
13      proof issue. So we would ask the Court to please consider our  
14      March 1st motion, motion to leave to file a complaint, our  
15      third amended compliant under the amendments of the FSIA.

16      Here is why: As soon as your Honor gives us the green  
17      light, we are in fact filing our proofs. I think at the last  
18      conference the Court said maybe there be a hearing, maybe there  
19      won't. The Court wanted to see the proofs. If you will  
20      entertain that motion, we will file our proofs immediately  
21      thereafter, which gets me to the second reason I would like to  
22      address the Court.

23      When whether Richard Haily addressed the Court  
24      March 15th announced that he was hoping to file the proofs but  
25      for this September 30th opinion that we didn't know about, one

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1       counsel objected, which we weren't expecting. The objection  
2       was that all our proofs against Iran, which are videotapes,  
3       testimony, expert affidavits, should be filed under seal. We  
4       never heard of that. Since time of talk, we investigated 35  
5       cases against the Sovereign State of Iran in federal court here  
6       in New York and Washington D.C. There has been no case ever  
7       filed under seal. We have looked at local rules under federal  
8       law regarding filing something under seal and we frankly don't  
9       understand and can't conceive of it.

10           So I would ask the Court, since the Court ruled from  
11       the bench, to consider that now or permit me to file a motion  
12       to address that issue because it just doesn't seem appropriate  
13       given the subject matter, the nature of American courts to file  
14       any evidence under seal unless it is a matter of utmost  
15       concern, criminal case or something of that sort.

16           So that is a request for clarification about the  
17       under-seal issue that was raised and a request that our  
18       March 1st motion be considered.

19           THE COURT: This is what I am going to ask you to do:  
20       I would like you to, one, consult with the other plaintiffs,  
21       appropriate plaintiffs attorneys about what you want to do now  
22       that everyone has considered the full-blown discovery and we're  
23       going on this schedule. I would like you to give me a letter  
24       as early as possible before against the June 14th date that I  
25       have given everybody else indicating that you consulted

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1       appropriately with other plaintiffs so I know whether or not  
2       you are in agreement what you want to do. If you are not in  
3       agreement, say you are not in agreement and they can respond to  
4       the letter separately.

5           Then send me that letter so that the defense can  
6       respond to that letter. So if anybody has any objections with  
7       regard to sealing and unsealing or anything else, defense or  
8       plaintiff, then I will know that, although they are not  
9       technically the parties being represented here, we can consider  
10      whether or not any name that we might contemplate might  
11      adversely affect the conduct of the proceedings for others and.

12       Then you can either specifically reaffirm your  
13      position with regard to moving forward and how to move forward  
14      with your motion and/or modify it in whatever way you want to  
15      modify it or tell me what your position is.

16       MR. MELLON: Thank you.

17       THE COURT: That is one of the things on my list right  
18      in front of me. I usually don't like to give you a question, I  
19      like to give an answer. I know that we have to address that  
20      and that was one of the things I had in mind and had its own  
21      separate issues when I raised the issue in January of defaults  
22      and what is the appropriate way to proceed.

23       MR. MELLON: Thank you, your Honor.

24       **MR. KREINDER:** Your Honor, this is an issue I have  
25      been involved in. I think I can save everyone a little time.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 First of all, the plaintiffs committee has no objection to  
2 Mr. Mellon filing an amendment to his complaint. The  
3 plaintiffs committee that represents the families of 3,000  
4 people who were murdered, thousands of people who were injured,  
5 and billions of dollar in property damage does oppose any  
6 lawyer who represents less than 1 percent of the plaintiffs  
7 group doing something that disadvantages all of the plaintiffs  
8 in our opinion for reasons I can spell out at greater length.

9 The issue of actually recovering money from any of the  
10 seven state sponsors of terrorism, involves litigation in  
11 federal courts. It involves litigation such as we saw Libyan  
12 Claims Resolution Act and involves diplomatic concerns.

13 All I will say on that topic now in open court is for  
14 the reasons your Honor mentioned we oppose any single plaintiff  
15 doing something that 99 percent of the plaintiffs disagree with  
16 for really very well thought out reasons. I agree with

17 Mr. Mellon completely, Judge Lamberth's opinion is an  
18 absolutely just reading. The thrust of it, and he is handling  
19 all the litigation against Iran other than this case, is when  
20 he surveys the \$7 billion in judgments that have been rendered  
21 against Iran and takes account of the legislative changes that  
22 were designed to facilitate recoveries and particularly to  
23 facilitate punitive damages recoveries, he laments the  
24 litigation posture of this case because none of the people who  
25 have preceded to judgments against Iran have in fact gotten

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 paid.

2 Of the seven sponsors of terrorism, the only  
3 plaintiffs who have been paid are the plaintiffs I've been  
4 representing for 21 years in the litigation against Libya. I  
5 just thought it saves the time of letter exchange, we  
6 vigorously oppose the hair on the tail of the dog doing  
7 something that we think could kill the dog.

8 MR. MELLON: Your Honor, may I respond?

9 THE COURT: Sure.

10 MR. MELLON: Thank you.

11 Mr. Victor Saracini was the captain of the United  
12 Flight 175, that is the second plain, the South Tower, his wife  
13 and 60 or 70 other decedent families representing hundreds of  
14 claims have been vigorously, persistently, intensely looking  
15 not at Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabia, not at airplanes, not at  
16 skyscrapers, not all the other plaintiffs, not all the other  
17 concerns, none of that. They have had one objective in 10  
18 years and they are now ready to show this Court the results of  
19 their extraordinary effort.

20 This is not a matter of 1 percent versus 99 percent.

21 This is a matter of the truth coming out. This is not a matter  
22 of diplomacy, or whatever Mr. Kreindler has in mind. This is a  
23 matter of a Court looking at evidence and making an appropriate  
24 determination. Because counsel may not be ready because they  
25 have a bigger fish to fry should not prejudice Mr. Victor

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 Saracini or his family. We're only asking this Court to look  
2 at evidence, to render an opinion, and perhaps have Magistrate  
3 Mass render the final judgment in terms of damages.

4 Anything else frankly in my view, with all due  
5 respect, is a subterfuge. The evidence is in hand. We want to  
6 give it to you, your Honor. We want you to look at it. We  
7 need your opinion.

8 THE COURT: I understand your position. The one  
9 additional thing that I would ask you to do, though, is with  
10 regard to the amended complaint, I would ask you to share that  
11 with the plaintiffs committee first.

12 MR. MELLON: I shall.

13 THE COURT: So the best case scenario they might have  
14 some helpful suggestions that might make it useful for both  
15 sides in terms of particularly with my view that it would be  
16 helpful if we had a last consolidated complaint. This is one  
17 of the issues that I wanted to address in that way.

18 So I would like if you have some idea and they can  
19 discuss with you what they think if they have some suggestions  
20 one way or other or objections one way or another about your  
21 opinion which is either consistent or inconsistent with the  
22 claims here that might be awkward for you or for them.

23 MR. MELLON: Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Share that with them first before you do  
25 and once at least you consulted on that, you don't have to

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 agree on that, after I know you have consulted them then you  
2 can submit it to me.

3 MR. MELLON: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: I would rather have them see it before I  
5 see it as opposed to submitting it to me and then sharing.

6 MR. MELLON: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: I understand everyone's legitimate  
8 positions and concerns and I will do my best and Magistrate  
9 Judge Maas has been doing his best to accommodate. Our intent  
10 at this point having picked this case in the posture we picked  
11 it up in is to give everyone a fair and reasonable effective  
12 forum to resolve these disputes. And although we have a lot of  
13 lawyers here, we recognize that these are individual disputes  
14 between individual plaintiffs and individual defendants. We're  
15 mindful of that.

16 I think that most of the issues that you have raised  
17 we've given consideration to. We don't have all the answers  
18 yet either, but I think that we're in a process that we will be  
19 able to move forward and resolve these issues efficiently step  
20 by step and with regard to the ultimate issues that are  
21 involved in these cases.

22 We will gear up and be ready to go. We'll see you on  
23 July 15th.

24 Is afternoon a better time than the mornings?

25 MS. BIERSTEIN: Your Honor, at least for me I know  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

21

1 morning would be better. I am going to be traveling later that  
2 day so morning would be preferable.

3 MR. KREINDER: Your Honor, the only thing I would say  
4 is a couple people fly into town so starting at 10:30 or so.

5 THE COURT: Let's say 10:30 on July 15th.

6 As I say, let's try to resolve as many issues, if not  
7 all issues, before that time so we can know that confidently we  
8 are ready to move forward on this schedule. I think that we  
9 should be on track by that date.

10 Again, if you have any issues that we haven't  
11 contemplated, let us know and we'll factor those in in the  
12 meantime.

13 Anything else?

14 MR. MELLON: Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: See you on the 15th.

16 ooo  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

